IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA ROCK HILL DIVISION

Tonya R. Blake,)	C/A No.: 0:11-2825-MBS-SVH
Plaintiff,)	
vs.)	
)	ORDER
Children's Attention Home,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
)	

This matter is before the court on Plaintiff's letter dated February 1, 2012, in which she appears to indicate that she is in the process of hiring counsel to assist her in this matter, although no counsel has made an appearance. [Entry #20].

The court grants Plaintiff's request for additional time to find counsel [Entry #20] and will allow Plaintiff 30 days within which to notify the court of the identity of an attorney(s) to represent her in this case or, alternatively, of her desire to proceed with this litigation without an attorney. To this end, Plaintiff shall, within 30 days from the date of this order, complete the attached notice and mail it to the clerk of court at the address indicated. If Plaintiff fails to file the attached letter with the clerk within the time prescribed, the court will assume he or she intends to proceed in this litigation without the benefit of an attorney. If Plaintiff chooses to proceed pro se, she remains responsible for insuring that the Clerk of Court has a current accurate address.

Plaintiff is specifically advised that, if no new attorney is obtained to represent her interests, the court will expect this litigation to be conducted in accordance with all

provisions of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and that the court is unable to provide her

with legal advice. Plaintiff is directed to consult the Pro Se Guide available on the District

Court's website at www.scd.uscourts.gov under the "pro se" tab. Failure to comply with

court rules could have serious consequences including, but not limited to, striking a claim,

defense, pleading, dismissing the action for lack of prosecution and/or holding the party in

default.

In her letter, Plaintiff also requests that the court issue a subpoena to defendant

Children's Attention Home for discovery materials. Plaintiff is advised that the proper

procedure for requesting documents from defendant is contained in Federal Rule of Civil

Procedure 34. Specifically, requests for documents should be served on counsel for

defendant and should not be filed with the court absent a dispute between the parties after

previous service. Therefore, Plaintiff's request for a subpoena [Entry #20] is denied.

The court has reviewed the Rule 26(f) report submitted by counsel for defendant and

respectfully declines to adopt the requested changes to the scheduling order at this time. The

court's January 3, 2012 scheduling order remains in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

February 6, 2012

Columbia, South Carolina

Skain V. Hadjus

Shiva V. Hodges

United States Magistrate Judge

	Name	
	Address	
Clerk of Court United States Distr 901 Richland Stree Columbia, South C	et .	
In Re:	0:11-2825-MBS-SVH Blake v. Children's	Attention Home
Dear Mr. Propes:		
In response follows:	to the order of Judge Hodges dated February 6	, 2012, I wish to advise as
1.	I have obtained a new attorney to represent ther] name, address, and telephone number a	_
	OR	
2.	2. I have NOT obtained a new attorney and will represent myse matter. The clerk is directed to forward all notices and pleadin at the above address. I understand that I am obligated to com all provisions of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and to Clerk of Court informed as to my proper address.	
	Signature	Date
	Printed Name	